

Assessing the effectiveness of cardiac rehabilitation in patients after myocardial infarction using national-level case-mix data

Borut Jug^{1,2}, Dalibor Gavrič³, Irena Ograjenšek⁴, Petra Došenović Bonča⁴

¹ University Medical Center Ljubljana, Slovenia

² Medical Faculty Ljubljana, Slovenia

³ Healthcare Insurance Institute of Slovenia

⁴ Faculty of Economics and Business Ljubljana, Slovenia

PCSI 2024, Bled Slovenia, 28-31 May 2024

Disclosure / Potential conflict of interest

- *Grant V3-2104 (Slovenian Research Agency/Ministry of Health)*
- *No conflicts pertinent to this presentation*
- *Biased towards cardiac rehabilitation*
- *I am a clinician — not a coding expert, statistician or policy consultant*

Outline


- **Why? Myocardial rehabilitation, cardiac rehabilitation and quality of care**
- **How? Methods → from a clinicians perspective**
- **What? Results in context**
- **So what?**

Outline



- **Why? Myocardial rehabilitation, cardiac rehabilitation and quality of care**
- How? Methods → from a clinicians perspective
- What? Results in context
- So what?

Myocardial infarction

Revascularisation




Secondary prevention




Myocardial infarction

Coronary artery disease

Myocardial infarction




Myocardial infarction




Myocardial infarction


Revascularisation




Secondary prevention



Cardiac rehabilitation




Myocardial infarction




Coronary artery disease



Cardiac rehabilitation



Cardiac rehabilitation




Cardiac rehabilitation




Comprehensive outpatient rehabilitation

3-month 3-times a week



< 2017 → **short-term residential CR**
 ≥ 2017 → **comprehensive outpatient CR**



+ 9.7% [95%CI 6.3, 13.1] level
 + 0.41% per month [95%CI 0.22, 0.6] trend
 - 7.2% [95%CI -13.8, -0.6] drop w/ COVID

Association of CR (modality) reimbursement claim with outcomes (time to all-cause mortality or CV re-hospitalisation)

Cardiac rehabilitation: Evidence (trials)


- **Complex, evolving intervention**
- **Not as interesting as medications or procedures**
- **Not for everyone (referral, adherence)**
- **Small scale trials focusing on surrogate outcomes (e.g., exercise capacity)**

 **ESC**
European Society
of Cardiology
European Heart Journal (2023) 44, 452–469
<https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehac747>

META-ANALYSIS
Ischaemic heart disease

Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis

Grace O. Dibben ^{1*}, James Faulkner², Neil Oldridge³, Karen Rees⁴,
David R. Thompson ⁵, Ann-Dorthe Zwisler ^{6,7,8}, and Rod S. Taylor^{1,9}



Dibben G et al. European Heart Journal (2023) 44, 452–469

Cardiac rehabilitation: Evidence (observ)

	HR (95% CI)	Method	Reference
Ejlsvogels, 2022	0.59; 0.52–0.68	IPTW logistic, 26 171 pts	JAMA. 2020;3(7):e2011686
Suaya, 2009	0.59; 0.35–0.97	PSM, 2024 pts	J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54(1):25-33
Martin, 2012	0.59; 0.49–0.70	PSM, 2900 pts	Circulation 2012;126(6):677-87
Sunamura, 2018	0.61; 0.46–0.81	PSM, 1159 pts	Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes 2018;4(3):168-72
de Vries, 2015	0.65; 0.56–0.77	IPTW GBM, 11 014 pts	Eur Heart J 2015;36(24):1519-28

- **Two-group comparisons (CR vs. No CR)**
- **Diverse CR modalities (comprehensive outpatient-type)**
- **Different healthcare systems (e.g., CR coverage varies)**


Outline

- Why? Myocardial rehabilitation, cardiac rehabilitation and quality of care
- **How? Methods → from a clinicians perspective**
- What? Results in context
- So what?


Methods

- **Causal assumptions**
- **Variable selection**
- **Propensity score estimation and diagnostics**
- **Inverse probability of treatment weights**
- **Cox proportional hazards modelling**

Cardiac rehabilitation and outcomes



Causal assumptions



Definitions

Index event


Admission between Jan 1 2015 and Jun 30 2021



Hospital episode

- ICD I21.x
- Demographics
- Co-morbidities
- Co-procedures
- DRG Intensity

Discharge



30 days

Medicines reimbursement claim

CR claim



180 days

Death or CV hospitalisation

Coronary artery disease (I21.x, I20.x, I25.x)

Cerebrovascular disease (I63.x, I65.x, I66.x)

Peripheral artery disease (I70.x, I71.x)



Mortality registry & Hospital data

3,5 years

Variables selection?

Cardiac Rehabilitation ~

— *No CR*

— *Short-term Residential*

— *Comprehensive Outpatient*

- *Age, Sex,*
- *Infarct type, Atrial fibrillation, Heart Failure, Residual Ischaemia,*
- *Diabetes mellitus, Arterial hypertension,*
- *Depression, Dementia, Cancer, CKD, COPD/Asthma,*
- *Revascularisation procedure,*
- *Antithrombotic, Lipid-lowering, Beta Blocker, RAAS Therapy,*
- *Length of Stay, DRG Intensity,*
- *Total Number of Diagnoses, Total Number of Procedures,*

Evidence-based predictors of CR participation & outcomes, reviewed by expert panel

Are covariates *valid*?

- **Biomedical rationale**
- **Professional Standards & Good Clinical Practice**
- **Reimbursement**

- *Age, Sex,*
- *Infarct type, Atrial fibrillation, Heart Failure, Residual Ischaemia,*
- *Diabetes mellitus, Arterial hypertension,*
- *Depression, Dementia, Cancer, CKD, COPD/Asthma,*
- *Revascularisation procedure,*
- *Antithrombotic, Lipid-lowering, Beta Blocker, RAAS Therapy,*
- *Length of Stay, DRG Intensity,*
- *Total Number of Diagnoses, Total Number of Procedures,*

External control

Registry comparison

Evidence-based predictors of CR participation & outcomes, reviewed by expert panel

Are covariates valid?

Cardiac Rehabilitation ~

— No CR

— S

— C

Major determinants
Complex diagnoses
Non-cardiovascular
Meds prescription?

- Age, Sex,
- Infarct type, A
- Diabetes mellitus, Arterial hypertension,
- Depression, Dementia, Cancer, CKD, COPD/Asthma
- Revascularisation procedure,
- Antithrombotic, Lipid-lowering, Beta Blocker, RAAS therapy,
- Length of Stay, DRG Intensity,
- Total Number of Diagnoses, Total Number of Procedures,

Confident?

Complex diagnosis
Meds prescription?

Likely?

Residual Ischaemia,

Confident?

Likely?

Evidence-based predictors of CR participation & outcomes, reviewed by expert panel


Is this all?

- **Smoking?**
- **Exercise capacity?**
- **Diet?**
- **Obesity?**
- **Socio-economic status?**
- **Laboratory values?**

- *Age, Sex,*
- *Infarct type, Atrial fibrillation, Heart Failure, Residual Ischaemia,*
- *Diabetes mellitus, Arterial hypertension,*
- *Depression, Dementia, Cancer, CKD, COPD/Asthma,*
- *Revascularisation procedure,*
- *Antithrombotic, Lipid-lowering, Beta Blocker, RAASi Therapy,*
- *Length of Stay, DRG Intensity,*
- *Total Number of Diagnoses, Total Number of Procedures,*

Evidence-based predictors of CR participation & outcomes, reviewed by expert panel

Flowchart & Baseline



Flowchart & Baseline

Characteristic	Overall N = 11 815	No CR N = 7 996	Short-term N = 2 281	Comprehensive N = 1 538	p-value
Age	66 (57-77)	69 (59-79)	63 (55-71)	58 (52-66)	<0.0001
Sex (male)	7 882 (67%)	5 030 (63%)	1 676 (73%)	1 176 (76%)	<0.0001
STEMI	5 431 (46%)	3 112 (39%)	1 410 (62%)	909 (59%)	<0.0001
Diabetes	2 631 (22%)	1 827 (23%)	564 (25%)	240 (16%)	<0.0001
Arterial Hypertension	7 245 (61%)	5 030 (63%)	1 384 (61%)	831 (54%)	<0.0001
Atrial Fibrillation	1 481 (13%)	1 147 (14%)	260 (11%)	74 (4.8%)	<0.0001
Heart Failure	2 094 (18%)	1 366 (17%)	539 (24%)	189 (12%)	<0.0001
Depression	811 (6.9%)	585 (7.3%)	147 (6.4%)	79 (5.1%)	0.0056
Dementia	264 (2.2%)	258 (3.2%)	5 (0.2%)	1 (<0.1%)	<0.0001
Malignancy	358 (3.0%)	285 (3.6%)	51 (2.2%)	22 (1.4%)	<0.0001
Chronic Kidney Disease	966 (8.2%)	768 (9.6%)	155 (6.8%)	43 (2.8%)	<0.0001
COPD or Asthma	1 038 (8.8%)	748 (9.4%)	191 (8.4%)	99 (6.4%)	0.0008
Antiplatelet Therapy	11 212 (95%)	7 493 (94%)	2 189 (96%)	1 530 (99%)	<0.0001
Lipid-Lowering Therapy	9 727 (82%)	6 250 (78%)	2 018 (88%)	1 459 (95%)	<0.0001
RAAS Inhibitors	8 544 (72%)	5 629 (70%)	1 714 (75%)	1 201 (78%)	<0.0001
Beta Blockers	8 834 (75%)	5 682 (71%)	1 871 (82%)	1 281 (83%)	<0.0001
Antiischemic Therapy	2 381 (20%)	1 660 (21%)	479 (21%)	242 (16%)	<0.0001
Revascularisation	10 319 (87%)	6 731 (84%)	2 119 (93%)	1 469 (96%)	<0.0001
Number of Diagnoses	4 (2-5)	4 (2-5)	4 (3-6)	3 (2-4)	<0.0001
Number of Procedures	15 (9-19)	13 (7-18)	16 (10-20)	17 (13-20)	<0.0001
Length of Stay >5 days	6 242 (53%)	4 098 (51%)	1 508 (66%)	636 (41%)	<0.0001
DRG Intensity	3.4 (2.4-3.8)	3.2 (2.3-3.8)	3.4 (2.7-4.2)	3.6 (3.2-3.8)	<0.0001

Younger

Men

Less co-morbidities

More intensive baseline management

Propensity score estimation

- **Multinomial logistic** → *“Well, that seems easy enough for a simple clinician ...”*
- **General boosted model**¹ → *“Excuse me?”*
- **Covariate balancing propensity score**² → *“Que?!”*
- **Other (e.g., entropy balancing propensity score**³) → *“OK, you lost me ...”*


1. Imai K, Ratkovic M. J R Statist Soc 2014;76(1):243-263.

2. McCaffrey DF et al. Stat Med 2013;32(19):338-3414.

3. Heinmueller J. Political Analysis 2012; 20:25-46.

Balance diagnostics

Covariate Balance
Max across treatment pairs



	Overall	No CR	stCR	coCR
Age	58 (51-66)	58 (50-67)	59 (51-66)	58 (52-66)
Sex (male)	76.4%	76.3%	76.5%	76.5%
STEMI	59.1%	59.2%	59.1%	59.1%
Diabetes	15.6%	15.6%	15.6%	15.6%
Arterial Hypertension	54.0%	54.1%	54.0%	54.0%
Atrial Fibrillation	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%
Heart Failure	12.3%	12.3%	12.3%	12.3%
Depression	5.1%	5.2%	5.1%	5.1%
Dementia	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Malignancy	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
Chronic Kidney Disease	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%
COPD or Asthma	6.4%	6.5%	6.4%	6.4%
Antiplatelet Therapy	99.5%	99.5%	99.5%	99.5%
Lipid-Lowering Therapy	94.9%	94.9%	94.8%	94.9%
RAAS Inhibitors	78.1%	78.1%	78.1%	78.1%
Beta Blockers	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%
Antiischemic Therapy	15.7%	15.7%	15.7%	15.7%
Revascularisation	95.5%	95.6%	95.5%	95.5%
Number of Diagnoses	3 (2-4)	3 (2-4)	3 (2-4)	3 (2-4)
Number of Procedures	17 (12-20)	17 (12-20)	17 (12-20)	17 (13-20)
Length of Stay >5 Days	41.3%	41.3%	41.3%	41.4%
DRG Intensity	3.4 (3.0-3.8)	3.4 (3.0-3.8)	3.4 (3.0-3.8)	3.6 (3.2-3.8)
Unadjusted Sample Size		7 996	2 281	1 538
Adjusted Effective Sample Size		3 388	1 208	1 538

	Balanced	Not balanced
SMD	1136	1
KS	1101	36

Greifer N. WeightIt: Weighting for Covariate Balance in Observational Studies. <https://ngreifer.github.io/WeightIt/>, <https://github.com/ngreifer/WeightIt.2023>.

Greifer N. Covariate Balance Tables and Plots. <https://ngreifer.github.io/cobalt/>, <https://github.com/ngreifer/cobalt.2023>.

IPTW — ATE or ATT?

- Selected population — enrolment, adherence, completion
 - Standard of care → 60% of patients after MI
 - Statins → 90% of patients
 - Mediterranean-type diet → 100% of patients
- Interested in *comprehensive CR* (i.e., focus = newly introduced intervention)

Outline

- Why? Myocardial rehabilitation, cardiac rehabilitation and quality of care
- How? Methods → from a clinicians perspective
- **What? Results in context**
- So what?

Effectiveness estimates?

Characteristic	Multinomial			GBM			CBPS			Adjusted Unweighted			Unadjusted Weighted		
	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value
Comprehensive CR	0.58	0.48 to 0.70	<0.0001	0.60	0.49 to 0.74	<0.0001	0.58	0.47 to 0.70	<0.0001	0.58	0.48 to 0.70	<0.0001	0.57	0.47 to 0.62	<0.0001
Short-term CR	0.78	0.67 to 0.91	0.002	0.84	0.70 to 0.99	0.046	0.79	0.68 to 0.93	0.0033	0.72	0.64 to 0.80	<0.0001	0.81	0.69 to 0.94	0.007
Age	1.02	1.01 to 1.03	<0.0001	1.02	1.01 to 1.03	<0.0001	1.02	1.01 to 1.03	<0.0001	1.03	1.02 to 1.03	<0.0001			
Sex (male)	1.17	0.99 to 1.38	0.066	1.24	1.04 to 1.47	0.014	1.15	0.98 to 1.36	0.088	1.15	1.06 to 1.25	0.0006			
STEMI	1.02	0.88 to 1.19	0.75	0.98	0.83 to 1.17	0.84	1.02	0.88 to 1.19	0.77	0.96	0.88 to 1.04	0.28			
Diabetes	1.29	1.10 to 1.52	0.0022	1.38	1.15 to 1.67	0.0005	1.26	1.06 to 1.49	0.0071	1.23	1.13 to 1.34	<0.0001			
Arterial Hypertension	0.85	0.72 to 1.00	0.046	0.80	0.67 to 0.96	0.017	0.84	0.72 to 0.99	0.039	0.88	0.81 to 0.96	0.0037			
Atrial Fibrillation	1.11	0.89 to 1.38	0.35	1.03	0.81 to 1.30	0.83	1.13	0.91 to 1.40	0.26	1.04	0.95 to 1.15	0.39			
Heart Failure	1.23	1.03 to 1.46	0.021	1.24	1.02 to 1.51	0.031	1.22	1.03 to 1.44	0.021	1.29	1.17 to 1.41	<0.0001			
Residual Ischaemia	1.12	0.96 to 1.32	0.15	1.20	1.00 to 1.44	0.055	1.14	0.97 to 1.34	0.11	1.14	1.05 to 1.25	0.0025			
Depression	1.54	1.18 to 2.01	0.0013	1.59	1.19 to 2.11	0.0015	1.53	1.19 to 1.97	0.0008	1.25	1.09 to 1.42	0.0009			
Dementia	2.25	0.66 to 7.72	0.20	1.62	0.63 to 4.18	0.32	2.22	0.66 to 7.41	0.20	1.24	1.04 to 1.47	0.017			
Malignancy	1.37	0.93 to 2.03	0.11	1.20	0.80 to 1.78	0.38	1.39	0.96 to 2.01	0.082	1.44	1.23 to 1.70	<0.0001			
Chronic Kidney Disease	1.06	0.82 to 1.37	0.67	1.06	0.81 to 1.39	0.67	1.08	0.84 to 1.38	0.56	1.29	1.15 to 1.44	<0.0001			
COPD or Asthma	1.16	0.93 to 1.45	0.19	1.15	0.92 to 1.44	0.23	1.16	0.93 to 1.45	0.19	1.20	1.07 to 1.35	0.0018			
Antiplatelet Therapy	1.27	0.83 to 1.96	0.27	1.23	0.85 to 1.79	0.28	1.31	0.85 to 2.03	0.22	1.12	0.95 to 1.31	0.17			
Lipid-Lowering Therapy	0.83	0.67 to 1.04	0.10	0.79	0.62 to 1.01	0.062	0.83	0.67 to 1.03	0.085	0.73	0.66 to 0.81	<0.0001			
RAAS Inhibitors	0.91	0.77 to 1.09	0.32	0.93	0.78 to 1.12	0.44	0.93	0.78 to 1.11	0.42	0.87	0.80 to 0.95	0.0015			
Beta Blockers	0.95	0.80 to 1.14	0.61	0.89	0.73 to 1.08	0.22	0.93	0.78 to 1.12	0.47	0.98	0.90 to 1.07	0.63			
Revascularisation	1.04	0.77 to 1.42	0.79	1.19	0.85 to 1.65	0.31	0.98	0.73 to 1.30	0.87	0.73	0.65 to 0.82	<0.0001			
Number of Diagnoses	1.07	1.03 to 1.11	0.0004	1.07	1.03 to 1.11	0.0003	1.07	1.04 to 1.11	<0.0001	1.06	1.04 to 1.07	<0.0001			
Number of Procedures	0.99	0.98 to 1.01	0.24	1.00	0.98 to 1.01	0.60	0.99	0.98 to 1.01	0.31	1.00	0.99 to 1.01	0.52			
Length of Stay	1.18	1.01 to 1.38	0.036	1.29	1.09 to 1.54	0.0032	1.16	1.00 to 1.36	0.051	1.16	1.06 to 1.26	0.0008			
DRG Intensity	1.03	0.97 to 1.09	0.29	1.03	0.99 to 1.07	0.22	1.03	0.98 to 1.07	0.25	1.02	1.00 to 1.04	0.11			

HR = Hazard Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval, CR = Cardiac rehabilitation, STEMI = ST-elevation myocardial infarction, COPD = Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, RAAS = Renin-angiotensin system

Effectiveness estimates?

Characteristic	Multinomial			GBM			CBPS			Adjusted Unweighted			Unadjusted Weighted		
	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value
CR	Multinomial			GBM			CBPS			Adj. Unweighted			Unadj. Weighted		
coCR	0.58	0.48 to 0.70	0.60	0.49 to 0.74	0.58	0.47 to 0.70	0.58	0.47 to 0.70	0.58	0.48 to 0.70	0.57	0.47 to 0.62			
srCR	0.78	0.67 to 0.91	0.84	0.70 to 0.99	0.79	0.68 to 0.93	0.72	0.64 to 0.80	0.81	0.69 to 0.94					
Diabetes	1.29	1.10 to 1.52	0.0022	1.38	1.15 to 1.67	0.0005	1.26	1.06 to 1.49	0.0071	1.23	1.13 to 1.34	<0.0001			
Arterial Hypertension	0.85	0.72 to 1.00	0.046	0.80	0.67 to 0.96	0.017	0.84	0.72 to 0.99	0.039	0.88	0.81 to 0.96	0.0037			
Atrial Fibrillation	1.11	0.89 to 1.38	0.35	1.03	0.81 to 1.30	0.83	1.13	0.91 to 1.40	0.26	1.04	0.95 to 1.15	0.39			
Heart Failure	1.23	1.03 to 1.46	0.021	1.24	1.02 to 1.51	0.031	1.22	1.03 to 1.44	0.021	1.29	1.17 to 1.41	<0.0001			
Residual Ischaemia	1.12	0.96 to 1.32	0.15	1.20	1.00 to 1.44	0.055	1.14	0.97 to 1.34	0.11	1.14	1.05 to 1.25	0.0025			
Depression	1.54	1.18 to 2.01	0.0013	1.59	1.19 to 2.11	0.0015	1.53	1.19 to 1.97	0.0008	1.25	1.09 to 1.42	0.0009			
Dementia	2.25	0.66 to 7.72	0.20	1.62	0.63 to 4.18	0.32	2.22	0.66 to 7.41	0.20	1.24	1.04 to 1.47	0.017			
Malignancy	1.37	0.93 to 2.03	0.11	1.20	0.80 to 1.78	0.38	1.39	0.96 to 2.01	0.082	1.44	1.23 to 1.70	<0.0001			
Chronic Kidney Disease	1.06	0.82 to 1.37	0.67	1.06	0.81 to 1.39	0.67	1.08	0.84 to 1.38	0.56	1.29	1.15 to 1.44	<0.0001			
COPD or Asthma	1.16	0.93 to 1.45	0.19	1.15	0.92 to 1.44	0.23	1.16	0.93 to 1.45	0.19	1.20	1.07 to 1.35	0.0018			
Antiplatelet Therapy	1.27	0.83 to 1.96	0.27	1.23	0.85 to 1.79	0.28	1.31	0.85 to 2.03	0.22	1.12	0.95 to 1.31	0.17			
Lipid-Lowering Therapy	0.83	0.67 to 1.04	0.10	0.79	0.62 to 1.01	0.062	0.83	0.67 to 1.03	0.085	0.73	0.66 to 0.81	<0.0001			
RAAS Inhibitors	0.91	0.77 to 1.09	0.32	0.93	0.78 to 1.12	0.44	0.93	0.78 to 1.11	0.42	0.87	0.80 to 0.95	0.0015			
Beta Blockers	0.95	0.80 to 1.14	0.61	0.89	0.73 to 1.08	0.22	0.93	0.78 to 1.12	0.47	0.98	0.90 to 1.07	0.63			
Revascularisation	1.04	0.77 to 1.42	0.79	1.19	0.85 to 1.65	0.31	0.98	0.73 to 1.30	0.87	0.73	0.65 to 0.82	<0.0001			
Number of Diagnoses	1.07	1.03 to 1.11	0.0004	1.07	1.03 to 1.11	0.0003	1.07	1.04 to 1.11	<0.0001	1.06	1.04 to 1.07	<0.0001			
Number of Procedures	0.99	0.98 to 1.01	0.24	1.00	0.98 to 1.01	0.60	0.99	0.98 to 1.01	0.31	1.00	0.99 to 1.01	0.52			
Length of Stay	1.18	1.01 to 1.38	0.036	1.29	1.09 to 1.54	0.0032	1.16	1.00 to 1.36	0.051	1.16	1.06 to 1.26	0.0008			
DRG Intensity	1.03	0.97 to 1.09	0.29	1.03	0.99 to 1.07	0.22	1.03	0.98 to 1.07	0.25	1.02	1.00 to 1.04	0.11			

HR = Hazard Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval, CR = Cardiac rehabilitation, STEMI = ST-elevation myocardial infarction, COPD = Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, RAAS = Renin-angiotensin system


Effectiveness estimates in context

CR	Multinomial	GBM	CBPS	Adj. Unweighted	Unadj. Weighted					
coCR	0.58	0.48 to 0.70	0.60	0.49 to 0.74	0.58	0.47 to 0.70	0.58	0.48 to 0.70	0.57	0.47 to 0.62
srCR	0.78	0.67 to 0.91	0.84	0.70 to 0.99	0.79	0.68 to 0.93	0.72	0.64 to 0.80	0.81	0.69 to 0.94

	HR (95% CI)	Method	Reference
Ejlsvogels, 2022	0.59; 0.52–0.68	IPTW logistic, 26 171 pts	JAMA. 2020;3(7):e2011686
Suaya, 2009	0.59; 0.35–0.97	PSM, 2024 pts	J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54(1):25-33
Martin, 2012	0.59; 0.49–0.70	PSM, 2900 pts	Circulation 2012;126(6):677-87
Sunamura, 2018	0.61; 0.46–0.81	PSM, 1159 pts	Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes 2018;4(3):168-72
de Vries, 2015	0.65; 0.56–0.77	IPTW GBM, 11 014 pts	Eur Heart J 2015;36(24):1519-28

- **Two-group comparisons (CR vs. No CR)**
- **Diverse CR modalities (comprehensive outpatient-type)**
- **Different healthcare systems (e.g., CR coverage varies)**
- **Different covariates selection**

Effectiveness estimates to inform clinical management and health policies



21% → **505 events / 3 yrs**

17.2% → ↓ **67 events** → **NNR 26**

12.8% → ↓ **143 events** → **NNR 12**


Outline

- Why? Myocardial rehabilitation, cardiac rehabilitation and quality of care
- How? Methods → from a clinicians perspective
- What? Results in context
- **So what?**

Conclusions

- **CR is associated with reduced time-to-event HR for all-cause mortality and CV hospitalisations**
 - *Estimated effectiveness larger for comprehensive CR*
 - *If patients resemble those referred to comprehensive CR*
- **Confident in the estimated effectiveness enough to:**
 - Inform medical community
 - Promote cardiologists to refer to CR
 - Provide healthcare insurance with evidence of effectiveness-for-payment
- **Observational study (association, not causation)**
- **Dataset large in size, but limited in scope:**
 - Derived from administrative data sources
 - Unmeasured covariates? (e.g, smoking, diet, exercise capacity)
 - Limited to all-cause mortality and CV hospitalisations, and not capturing other relevant outcomes (e.g., exercise improvement, quality of life)

Thank you!



Intellectuals solve problems.
Geniuses prevent them.

A. Einstein

Questions?